

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST N	AMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,992	07/21/2003	Gu	angming Yin	BP2517	5495
7590 02/09/2005			EXAMINER		
Bruce E. Garlick				SHINGLETON, MICHAEL B	
P.O. Box 160727 Austin, TX 78716-0727			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2817	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/623,992	YIN, GUANGMING				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael B. Shingleton	2817				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6)						
· ·	Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/623,992

Art Unit: 2817

tuined 216105 Page 2 ms

DETAILED ACTION

In order to facilitate compact prosecution of the application, applicant's help is requested in correcting the following issues. Much of the claim terminology does not have proper antecedent basis in the specification as it relates to the specific embodiments. For example the examiner does not see in Figures 6a-c. 7a, 7b or in the description of these Figures where the "first bit stream data clock" of at least claim 1 is identified. Note that at least claim 1 recites the phase detector of the pll as receiving the "first bit stream clock" and for example in Figure 6B the pll only receives the "REF_CLK" signal. It is simply unclear whether the structure that generates the "REF CLK" is the "first bit stream clock" of at least claim 1. This is due to the lack of proper antecedent basis. Similar situations exist with the other figures. Also the specification and drawings recite many different "Ref clk" generators like 313, 315, 326, 340, 344 and 346 that appear to not correspond to the circuit that produces the "Reference Clock Signal" of at least claim 1. It is simply unclear whether the structure that produces the "Reference Clock Signal" corresponds to the "first bit stream data clock" of at least claim 1 and it is unclear due to the lack of proper antecedent basis whether the many different "Ref clk" generators like 313, 315, 326, 340, 344 and 346 correspond to structure that produces the "Reference Clock Signal" of at least claim 1. Also the terminology "phase detector to generate a phase adjustment signal" which appears from the specification to be part of the Figure 6a embodiment is not shown. It is simply unclear what structure this corresponds to in the drawings. There are many other examples too numerious to mention specifically of the specification not providing proper antecedent basis for the claim terminology which makes the determination of what is being claimed difficult at best, thus in order to facilitate compact prosecution of the application, applicant's help is requested in correcting all these issues. On a related note, it appears that claims like claim 1 are linking claims, but due to the lack of proper antecedent basis an absolute determination cannot be made and thus the identification of what claims are linking claims below is done in so far as understood.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 11-14, 27, drawn to Demultiplexer, classified in class 340, subclass 536.
- II. Claims 7-9, 26, drawn to Multiplexer, classified in class 340, subclass 537.

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable.

Art Unit: 2817

In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as for use in an RF receiver circuit such as a digital TV. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Claims 1-6, 10, 15-25 link(s) inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1-6, 10, 15-25. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Shingleton whose telephone number is (571)272-1770. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fri from 8:30 to 4:30. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays. The examiner normally has second Mondays of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal, can be reached on (571)272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,992

Art Unit: 2817

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MBS 3
January 12, 2004

Michael B Shingleton
Primary Examiner

Page 4

GROUP ART UNIT 2817